



In conjunction with the 2022 UTS Research Day, we are working with the established University of Bridgeport Rubric for Grading Research Posters and Presentations, seen below with minor changes.

	PARTICIPANT		JUDGE		
POSTER	5	4	3	2	1
OBJECTIVES What did you set out to do?	Excellent Logical hypothesis or goal Relevant background information	Very Good Logical hypothesis or goal Background information but not fully relevant	Good Questionable hypothesis or goal Background information but not relevant	Fair Questionable hypothesis or goal Little or no background information	Poor/Missing Missing or inappropriate hypothesis Little or no background information
MASTERY OF UT	Shows a clear and advanced comprehension of UT themes or insights	Shows a clear comprehension of UT themes or insights	Shows a correct but basic understanding of UT themes or insights	Shows some correct but also some incorrect understandings of UT themes or insights	Shows little to no understanding of UT themes or insights
CONFERENCE RELEVANCE	Clear and compelling relevance to the conference theme	Clear relevance to the conference theme	Correct but loose relevance to the conference theme	Some to little relevance to the conference theme	Little to no relevance to the conference theme
METHODOLOGY How did you go about doing it?	Excellent project design All controls or alternatives	Very good project design Most controls or alternatives	Good project design Some controls or alternatives missing	Fair project design Missing most controls or alternatives	Missing methods section No controls or alternatives
RESULTS OR FINDINGS	Substantial amount of original data or important information based on authoritative sources	Substantial data and/or information	Adequate information or data	Lack of adequate information or data	Weak data or information
CONCLUSIONS	Unique conclusions supported by evidence Connected to goals/hypothesis	Conclusions supported by evidence Not fully connected to goals/hypothesis	Reasonable conclusions Some connection to goals/hypothesis	Conclusions present Little or no connection to goals/hypothesis	No conclusions
OVERALL POSTER SCORE					
PRESENTATION	5	4	3	2	1
KNOWLEDGE OF PROJECT	Excellent Demonstrates a strong knowledge of the project	Very Good Demonstrates a good knowledge of the project	Good Demonstrates some knowledge of the project	Fair Demonstrates poor knowledge of the project	Poor/Missing Demonstrates no knowledge of the project
LOGICAL PRESENTATION	Clear and concise; presentation uses poster well	Mostly clear; uses poster in presentation	Generally unclear; uses poster a bit	Unclear and illogical; does not use poster	Very confusing; does not use poster
HANDLING OF QUESTIONS	Answers difficult questions clearly	Answers most questions	Has some difficulty answering questions	Has difficulty answering questions	Does not understand questions
PRESENCE	Speaks clearly, with enthusiasm	Speaks clearly	Reads from poster or script some of the time	Reads from poster or script most of the time	Reads from poster or script all of the time
OVERALL PRESENTATION SCORE					